



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/767,149	01/23/2001	Tomohiro Kusanagi	OSP-10029	OSP-10029 1399	
466 7	7590 11/04/2003	EXAMINER		INER	
YOUNG & THOMPSON 745 SOUTH 23RD STREET 2ND FLOOR			CHOW, I	CHOW, DOON Y	
ARLINGTON, VA 22202			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2675		
			DATE MAILED: 11/04/200	3 <i>10</i>	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/767,149	KUSANAGI, TOMOHIRO			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Dennis-Doon Chow	2675			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 S	eptember 2003 .				
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ Thi	s action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2 and 4-7</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2 and 4-7</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	. have been made and				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents		an Na			
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.					
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (RTO-892)	4) Intensions Summer	/ (PTO-413) Paper No(s)			
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 9</li> </ol>	5) Notice of Informal I	Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/767,149

Art Unit: 2675

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-2 and 4-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yasui et al. (5248963) in view of Kaneda (JP 11271715).

Yasui discloses a liquid crystal display device comprising: pixel electrodes; common electrode; a plurality of data lines and gate lines; plurality of switches; and a controller for detecting the power supply of the liquid crystal display device is turned off and outputting signals to a gate line driver to make all the gate lines active for a predetermined time to erase the display device (see abstract).

Yasui does not explicitly disclose detecting an absence of a video signal or a sync signal. Instead, Yasui discloses detecting the absence of the power signal.

Kaneda discloses a liquid crystal display device comprising a controller for detecting an absence of a sync signal and outputting a same potential voltage to a common electrode and data lines.

Thus, it would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art to use Kaneda's controller in Yasui's device because of the same reason as Kaneda uses in his

Art Unit: 2675

invention, which is to secure the excellent display quality of the display device for a long

period of time (see Kaneda's abstract).

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are

moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the 4.

examiner should be directed to Dennis-Doon Chow whose telephone number is 703-

305-4398. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-6:00, Alternate Monday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Steve Saras can be reached on 703-305-9720. The fax phone numbers for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9314 for

regular communications and 703-872-9314 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-306-

0377.

D. Chow October 31, 2003

DENNIS-DOON CHOW

Page 3

PRIMARY EXAMINER